UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,902	05/16/2007	Katsuki Asagiri	740165-443	8304
	7590 03/25/201 OTKOWSKI SAFRA	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Department			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3654		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/594,902	ASAGIRI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SCOTT HAUGLAND	3654	
	10/594,902 Examiner	10/594,902 ASAGIRI ET AL.  Examiner Art Unit

	SCOTT HAUGLAND	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		-	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aband it, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	ch places the 3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, which	ever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office a	extension fee action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was an appearance.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the	issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PT	OL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / monamone (r	02 02 1/1.
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment	canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an exp	lanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails t ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached	l.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance	because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The changes to claim 4 and the limitations of new claim 9 raise new issues requiring further search or consideration including the issue of indefiniteness. E.g., the language of lines 13-16 of amended claim 4 is unclear or inaccurate. It appears that the lock bar rather than the spring engages (line 14) the spool, is normally held (line 15) in a disengaged position, and has the axis (line 16) that remains fixed relative to the rotating body.